Synthesis and Size Control of Gold Nanoparticles Stabilized by Poly(methylphenylphosphazene)

Caroline H. Walker, John V. St. John, and Patty Wisian-Neilson*

> Department of Chemistry Southern Methodist University Dallas, Texas 75275-0314

Received November 21, 2000

Since the development of simple solution-phase methods for the synthesis and stabilization of gold nanoparticles,¹ research in this field has undergone a rapid expansion. Applications of gold nanoparticles now include biological markers,² DNA sensors,³ molecular recognition systems,⁴ and nanoscale electronics.⁵ The use of polymer systems interacting with colloidal metal nanoparticles has been explored as a means of particle size control, stabilization, and organization,⁶⁻⁸ but stabilization has typically been through pendant groups attached to the polymer backbone (e.g., 2-pyrrolidone, cyano, thiol,⁷ or pyridine groups⁸). The only reported stabilization of metal nanoparticles by a polymer backbone involved chemical vapor deposition rather than chemistry in solution.⁹ We report here the first solution-phase synthesis of gold nanoparticles¹⁰ stabilized by the nitrogen lone pair electrons on the backbone of the inorganic polymer, poly-(methylphenylphosphazene) (PMPP), $[Me(Ph)PN]_n$.¹¹ The gold nanoparticle-PMPP system resists aggregation in solution and shows no aggregation below the glass transition temperature (T_g) of PMPP as a solid. Varying the ratio of PMPP to Au prior to reduction allows control of gold nanoparticle size.

The synthesis of gold nanoparticles was accomplished using a room-temperature, two-phase, one-pot reaction involving the reduction of gold in the presence of PMPP.¹² The HAuCl₄ was dissolved in H₂O and a phase-transfer reagent (tetraoctylammo-

(1) (a) Brust, M.; Walker, M.; Bethell, D.; Schiffrin, D. J.; Whyman, R. J. (1) (a) Brust, M.; Walker, M.; Beinell, D.; Schiffini, D. J.; Wijman, K. J.
Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 801, (b) Brust, M.; Fink, J.; Bethell, D.;
Schiffrin, D. J.; Kiely, C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 1655. (c)
Green, M.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Commun. 2000, 183.
(2) Slot, J. W.; Geuze, H. J. J. Cell. Biol. 1981, 90, 533.

(3) (a) Elghanian, R.; Storhoff, J. J.; Mucic, R. C.; Letsinger, R. L.; Mirkin, A. Science **1997**, 277, 1078. (b) Storhoff, J. J.; Elghanian, R.; Mucic, R.

(2) (A. Strence 1977, 277, 1076, (b) Stolholt, J. J., Elghannan, K., Mulcle, K.
C.; Mirkin, C. A.; Letsinger, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 1959.
(4) (a) Liu, J.; Xu, R.; Kaifer, A. E. Langmuir 1998, 14, 7337. (b) Labande,
A.; Astruc, D. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1007.
(5) (a) Andres, R. P.; Bielefeld, J. D.; Henderson, J. I.; Janes, D. B.;
V. P. Kelich, C. B. (Commun. 2000, 1007.

Kolagunta, V. R.; Kubiak, C. P.; Mahoney, W. J.; Osifchin, R. G.; *Science* **1996**, 273, 1690. (b) Sato, T.; Ahmed, H.; Brown, D.; Johnson, B. F. G. J. Appl. Phys. 1997, 82, 696.

 Appl. 1997, 62, 696.
(6) (a) Olsen, A. W.; Kafafi, Z. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7758. (b)
Weaver, S.; Taylor, D.; Gale, W.; Mills, G. Langmuir 1996, 12, 4618. (c)
Bronstein, L. M.; Platonova, O. A.; Yakunin, A. N.; Yanovskaya, I. M.;
Valetsky, P. M.; Dembo, A. T.; Makhaeva, E. E.; Mironov, A. V.; Khokhlov, A. R. Langmuir 1998, 14, 252. (d) Svergun, D. I.; Shtykova, E. V.; Dembo, A. T.; Bronstein, L. M.; Platonova, O. A.; Yakunin, A. N.; Valetsky, P. M.;
Khokhlov, A. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 11109. (e) Teranishi, T.; Hosoe,
M.; Tanaka, T.; Miyake, M. J. Phys. Chem. B 1999, 103, 3818. (f) Esumi, K.; Suzuki, A.; Yamahira, A.; Torigoe, K. Langmuir 2000, 16, 2604. (g) Esumi, K. Hosoya, T.; Suzuki, A.; Torigoe, K.; Langmuir 2000, 16, 2978

(7) (a) Teranishi, T.; Kiyokawa, I.; Miyake, M. Adv. Mater. 1998, 10, 596.
(b) Wuefling, W. P.; Gross, S. M.; Miles, D. T.; Murray, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12696.

(8) (a) Mössmer, S.; Spatz, J. P.; Möller, M.; Aberle, T.; Schmidt, J.; Burchard, W. *Macromolecules* **2000**, *33*, 4791. (b) Lin, B.; Morkved, T. L.; Meron, M.; Huang, Z.; Viccaro, P. J.; Jaeger, H. M.; Williams, S. M.; Schlossman, M. L. J. Appl. Phys. 1999, 85, 3180.
(9) (a) Akamatsu, K.; Deki, S. J. Mater. Chem. 1997, 7, 1773. (b) Sayo,

K.; Deki, S.; Hayashi, S. J. Mater. Chem. 1999, 9, 937

(10) Matrix encapsulation of CdS nanoparticles in cross-linked poly-(methoxyethoxyethoxyphosphazene), MEEP has been reported. Olshavsky,

M. A.; Allcock, H. R. Chem. Mater. 1997, 9, 1367.
(11) (a) Wisian-Neilson, P.; Neilson, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102,

(b) Neilson, R. H.; Wisian-Neilson, P. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 541.
(12) Wisian-Neilson, P.; Neilson, R. H. Inorg. Synth. 1989, 25, 69.

Figure 1. TEM image of 40:1 PMPP-Au nanoparticle composite. The scale bar is 20 nm.

nium bromide) was employed to move the salt into toluene over a period of 3 h.¹³ PMPP was then added to the organic solution,¹⁴ and the solution was stirred for 1 h. Reduction of the gold in the AuCl₄⁻ was accomplished by adding NaBH₄ in H₂O, which produced an immediate dark-purple-colored organic layer. The mixture was stirred for 24 h after the addition of NaBH₄. The dark-purple polymer-Au nanoparticle composites were precipitated into hexane, dried under vacuum at room temperature, and redissolved for further studies. The molar ratio of PMPP monomer unit to HAuCl₄ was between 4 and 40 for all studies.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of typical PMPP-Au nanoparticle composites (Figure 1) show that the gold nanoparticles are uniformly distributed throughout the polymer and the relative density of nanoparticles decreases as the ratio of polymer to gold increases. Varying the ratio of PMPP to HAuCl₄ controls the average size of gold nanoparticles within the PMPP matrix. Analysis of the TEM data¹⁵ indicates that the average particle size for the 4:1, 8:1, and 40:1 PMPP-Au nanoparticle composites are 7.4, 6.8, and 5.1 nm, respectively.¹⁶ Thus, as the amount of stabilizing PMPP is increased, the average size of the nanoparticles decreases. The stabilization is believed to result from the interaction of gold with the unusually basic nitrogen centers in the polymer backbone.17

The UV-visible absorption spectra for freshly prepared PMPP-Au nanoparticle composites dissolved in THF (Figure 2) show a broad absorption centered at 540 nm. This absorption is characteristic for gold nanocrystals in a size regime below 10 nm and is due to a localized surface plasmon oscillation.¹⁸

The PMPP-Au nanoparticle composites are relatively stable, showing no change in the nanoparticle density, size distribution, or absorption spectra after at least 6 months at temperatures below the T_{σ} of PMPP (37 °C).

In solution, or at temperatures above the $T_{\rm g}$, the gold nanoparticles are free to move and exhibit clustering over time. Figure 3 shows the TEM images of a PMPP-Au nanoparticle composite after heating at 45 °C for 1 and 24 h. Qualitatively, the gold

(13) A typical synthesis employed 30.5 mg of HAuCl₄, dissolved in 4.0 mL of deionized H₂O, and 220 mg of tetraoctylammonium bromide. (14) PMPP was dissolved in 0.5 mL of toluene and added to the toluene

solution containing the tetraoctylammonium-gold complex. Masses of PMPP (15) Digital TEM images were analyzed to determine particle sizes using

NIH Image.

(16) The standard deviations were 0.96, 1.33, and 0.25, respectively. These represent differences of 14, 20, and 5% relative to the average particle sizes. Comparable percent variations have been observed for gold nanoparticles stabilized by pendant thiol, cyano, and pyrrolidone groups on polymers. See ref 7a.

(17) Wisian-Neilson, P.; García-Alonso, F. J. Macromolecules 1993, 26, 7156.

(18) Alvarez, M. M.; Khoury, J. T.; Schaaff, T. G.; Shafigullin, M. N.; Vezmar, I.; Whetten, R. L. J. Phys. Chem. B 1997, 101, 3706.



Figure 2. UV-visible absorption spectra for freshly prepared solutions of PMPP-Au composites.



Figure 3. TEM of the 40:1 PMPP–Au composite after heating at 45 $^{\circ}$ C for (a) 1 h and (b) 24 h. Scale bars are 20 nm.



Figure 4. UV-visible absorption spectra of a solution of 40:1 PMPP-Au nanoparticle composite over time.

nanoparticles exhibit clustering in the TEM images after heating when compared with the original composites in Figure 1.

This clustering phenomenon is also manifested in the UVvisible absorption plots of solutions of PMPP-Au composites over time (Figure 4). The absorption spectra show a decrease in the intensity of the surface plasmon absorption resonance at 540 nm.

The thermal gravimetric analyses (TGA) data shown in Figure 5 indicate that the Au nanoparticles promote the decomposition



Figure 5. TGA plots of PMPP and PMPP:Au nanocomposites.

of PMPP. Pure PMPP has an onset of decomposition at ~350 °C, which is attributed to the formation and subsequent sublimation of cyclic phosphazenes, the latter of which accounts for the low residual weight after thermolysis.¹⁹ The gold nanocomposites, however, all show an earlier decomposition at ~185 °C as well as that at 350 °C. The composites with the greater proportion of gold not only show greater weight losses at 185 °C, but also have a larger remaining mass upon complete thermolysis, presumably due to nonvolatile metallic gold. The second weight loss at 350 °C is likely due to decomposition of segments of PMPP that are not complexed to the gold nanoparticles. The lowering of decomposition temperature is consistent with earlier observations of PMPP in the presence of transition metals and protons.^{17,20}

In summary, PMPP has been used as a stabilizing medium in the synthesis of gold nanoparticles. The PMPP-Au nanoparticle composites are stable and resist aggregation at room temperature. The size of the nanoparticles can be controlled by varying the PMPP-Au ratio, with increased concentrations of PMPP favoring smaller particle size. TGA indicates that the presence of gold nanoparticles lowers the decomposition temperature of PMPP. Some aggregation of the particles occurs above the T_g of PMPP or when the composites are dissolved in solution. The use of PMPP to stabilize gold nanoparticles suggests that related poly-(alkyl/arylphosphazenes) will also stabilize gold and other metal nanoparticles. The ease of modification of these polymers, the wide range of properties achieved by modification (e.g., water solubility), and the current understanding of the structureproperty relationships will facilitate the optimization and control of particle size, shape, and aggregation.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Council of Undergraduate Research Student Summer Research Fellowships in Science and Mathematics (C.H.W.), the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation Scholar/Fellow Program, and the Robert A. Welch Foundation.

Supporting Information Available: TEM micrographs of 4:1 and 8:1 PMPP–Au nanoparticle composites and histogram plots showing gold nanoparticle size distributions (PDF). This information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA005812+

⁽¹⁹⁾ Allcock, H. R.; McDonnell, G. S.; Riding, G. H.; Manners, I. Chem. Mater. 1990, 2, 425.

⁽²⁰⁾ Wisian-Neilson, P.; Bahadur, M.; Iriarte, J. M.; Wood, C. E.; Ford, R. R. *Macromolecules* **1994**, *27*, 4471.